

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,505	_	10/18/2001	Hock C. So	M-10277-3C US	3147
36257	7590	7590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
		& DE RUNTZ LLF	LAM, DAVID		
655 MONT SUITE 180		SIREEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111				2818	
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/045,505	SO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Lam	2818			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 July 2002.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all	llowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International E	•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the invention exceed 150 words.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-23 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,151,246. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1782. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,505

Art Unit: 2818

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

D. Lam

March 9, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMPLES

HATI

Page 3